

# **EXHIBIT A**

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

3  
4 Brock Fredin,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

No. 17-03058 (SRN/HB)

7 Lindsey Middlecamp,

8 Defendant.

9  
10 Brock Fredin,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

No. 18-cv-00466 (SRN/HB)

13 Grace Elizabeth Miller and

14 Catherine Marie Schaefer,

15 Defendants.

16  
17  
18 DEPOSITION OF BROCK FREDIN

19 Taken December 5, 2019

20 Scheduled for 9:30 a.m.

21  
22  
23  
24  
25 REPORTED BY: JONATHAN WONNELL, RMR

1 Dave Middlecamp or people re-tweeting the Twitter  
2 campaign to her social media, as well as several  
3 attorneys -- she's an attorney -- contacted her about  
4 that.

5 You're in the same NF court that she runs  
6 and managed -- manages based off of Chief Justice  
7 Douglas Andahl, and -- so some of the communications  
8 related to that. Several attorneys in the NF court  
9 came through to ask her about the entire situation.

10 Q And you learned this through e-mails you  
11 had with her?

12 A No. This was communication, a family  
13 communication.

14 Q Oral communication?

15 A Correct.

16 Q How did you search for documents  
17 responsive to our document requests? How did you  
18 search your e-mail files, for instance?

19 A I did keyword searches.

20 Q What keywords did you use?

21 A I used keywords related to Lindsey  
22 Middlecamp, Grace Miller, Catherine Schaefer-ish case  
23 caption: Miller versus Fredin, Schaefer versus  
24 Fredin, Middlecamp versus Fredin. I did captions  
25 for -- or searches for CardsAgstHrsmt. I did other

1 searches for David McCabe. I did keyword searches  
2 related to my work history.

3 Essentially, every single interrogatory I  
4 received I did keyword searches related to those  
5 questions.

6 Q Did you produce all the documents that  
7 those keyword searches identified?

8 A Absolutely, yes.

9 Q You didn't exclude any of them?

10 A That's true.

11 Q Who's Sarah -- is it Logghe?

12 A Sarah Logghe.

13 Q Who is she?

14 A Sarah Logghe is an ex-girlfriend.

15 Q When did she become an ex-girlfriend?

16 A I'm not sure. I have to check.

17 Q Was it within weeks or months? A year  
18 ago?

19 A I would have to check my records. I'm not  
20 sure. Ballpark, sure.

21 Q Well, which ballpark?

22 A What you just mentioned.

23 Q Week, months, or a year?

24 A Within the past year.

25 Q Within the past six months?

1 A I'd have to check. I'm not sure.

2 Q And what information does she -- what  
3 information do you believe she has with respect to  
4 the lawsuit?

5 A Everything. She knows everything.

6 Q Because you spoke to her about it?

7 A Correct.

8 Q E-mailed with her?

9 A No.

10 Q Text messages?

11 A No.

12 Q Social media?

13 A No. We -- at the time we -- I believe  
14 that she connected with her family through Facebook,  
15 but there was nothing related.

16 Q So you've had no communication with her  
17 during the time that the two of you were together  
18 about this case either by e-mail, text message or any  
19 social media platform. Is that your testimony?

20 A Can you restate the question?

21 Q During the time that you and her were  
22 together, you never texted, e-mailed or engaged in  
23 social media communications with her regarding the  
24 allegations in these two lawsuits?

25 A It was emotional-content based. I believe

1     that perhaps -- I never used Lindsey Middlecamp,  
2     Catherine Graver, Grace Miller's name. I never  
3     referenced the actual Twitter campaign or the City  
4     Pages article or a number of other articles stemming  
5     from, like, Reason.com, Eugene Bullock's article or  
6     Hacker News. I believe that that was simply  
7     in-person communication. If anything, maybe I talked  
8     in generalities in text message with her. I did  
9     keyword searches, and I did not find specific  
10    information I mentioned in the interrogatories or the  
11    requests for documents.

12           Q     Do you still have these communications  
13    with her saved somewhere?

14           A     I do. And I looked through them, and I  
15    did not find any substantive messages that matched  
16    interrogatories or requests for documents.

17           Q     You've used the phrase "emotional content"  
18    now twice related to documents you've decided not to  
19    produce in this case. What do you mean by "emotional  
20    content"?

21           A     Tortured mental states related to  
22    inability to obtain employment in my career field,  
23    and, you know, depression surrounding being doxed and  
24    ruined, not being able to basically function in the  
25    regular world for now three to four years.

1           Q       And so the decision not to produce those  
2 documents was based on what?

3           A       The requests for documents and the  
4 interrogatories weren't specific enough to target  
5 that information. We're talking about generalities  
6 in relationship that simply were not matched with the  
7 specific questions.

8           Q       Did you keep a log of the e-mails or the  
9 documents you decided not to produce?

10          A       I did include a deleted log showing  
11 potentially deleted files pursuant to your  
12 interrogatory requests for documents, the legalese  
13 around it. I provided a PDF and a Microsoft Word  
14 document at the root of the discovery folders.

15          Q       And it's of an in-box?

16          A       It's in the Dropbox.

17          Q       And you believe it contains what?

18          A       A log of deleted files or inaccessible  
19 files.

20          Q       From your Gmail account?

21          A       No. Just files on a computer.

22          Q       Why are they inaccessible?

23          A       The computers were stolen, based off of  
24 Lindsey Middlecamp's violent SWAT raid to serve her  
25 restraining order related to her corrupt self-dealing

1 in the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office where she  
2 was acting both as a Minneapolis Assistant City  
3 Attorney and using that position to essentially  
4 launch this SWAT raid against me to serve her  
5 restraining order. All of my computers were taken,  
6 stolen, essentially, and when they were returned had  
7 significant file changes to them.

8 Q When you say they were stolen, they were  
9 taken by the police, correct?

10 A I believe that they were taken to delete  
11 information related to Lindsey Middlecamp's leaking  
12 of the Miller restraining appellate decision, which  
13 she leaked before its official release. Any  
14 information related to that was deleted when I  
15 reviewed the computers after they were returned.

16 In addition to that, for example, I had  
17 screen shots of the Twitter posts before January 23rd  
18 or 19th, when that -- whenever the Miller restraining  
19 decision was released. I had screen shots of her  
20 Twitter post, I believe, in mid-January showing her  
21 knowledge that Judge Ross had essentially smeared me  
22 in his appellate decision. And then she had posted  
23 that on Twitter, and then she later deleted it and  
24 then restarted it again.

25 So the gist of this is the fact that those



1 documents were deleted or made inaccessible by way of  
2 Lindsey Middlecamp's extra-judicial actions to launch  
3 a SWAT raid against me. Once those items were  
4 returned to me, I also declined to review or hook up  
5 those machines to my network in fear of the fact that  
6 the police could have installed software to monitor  
7 my location, to stalk me on behalf of Lindsey  
8 Middlecamp.

9 Q So upon return of these devices, you did  
10 not plug them in to verify what information was or  
11 was not on them?

12 A I did, actually, on one machine, but the  
13 other machines I have not.

14 Q And your allegation is the police  
15 department specifically deleted files related to  
16 Lindsey Middlecamp?

17 A I am not making that allegation. I am  
18 simply saying it's my belief that those documents  
19 were suddenly not accessible by me after the SWAT  
20 raid.

21 Q But you're not blaming Lindsey Middlecamp  
22 for that?

23 A I am saying upon information and belief,  
24 Lindsey Middlecamp extra-judicial actions led to the  
25 fact that she was trying to cover up her leaking of

1 the Miller restraining decision.

2 Q What evidence do you have that the files  
3 were, in fact, deleted or rendered inaccessible by  
4 the police department?

5 A Because Lindsey Middlecamp has record of  
6 the fact that she had posted on Twitter before the  
7 official release, and she has record of her  
8 conversation on January 24th with a deputy  
9 Minneapolis city attorney, Mary Ellen Heng, in which  
10 she promoted a prosecution on behalf of her friend,  
11 Catherine Schaefer, and those records are directly  
12 linked to Tara Patet, who is a St. Paul assistant  
13 city attorney, where she then communicated with David  
14 McCabe, who is the individual who petitioned for the  
15 search warrant in St. Croix, Wisconsin, to raid my  
16 house in Hudson, Wisconsin. And --

17 Q Mr. Fredin, the question was: What  
18 evidence do you have that the police department  
19 either deleted or made inaccessible files of yours on  
20 the devices that they took?

21 A Yes. The information I have is related to  
22 the search warrants, the pictures from the SWAT raid,  
23 the pictures of all my devices, the logs of all my  
24 devices that the St. Paul Police took. I also  
25 provided pictures of those devices located in the

1 St. Paul property room. And then there were  
2 modifications to files on those machines which I  
3 reviewed following their return.

4 Q When you say "modifications to files,"  
5 what do you mean?

6 A The file logs in UNIX indicated that  
7 information was changed related to certain file  
8 structures and files therein.

9 Q Do you still have those logs?

10 A Those logs are contained on the machine  
11 that was taken.

12 Q So you do not have the logs?

13 A I do have the logs within the machine. I  
14 do not have them in a PDF document. It would be way  
15 too burdensome for me to go into that machine. I  
16 cannot, for security reasons, set up that machine at  
17 this point in time.

18 Q Mr. Fredin, you were asked in the  
19 interrogatories that were provided to you to identify  
20 the various user names and profiles that you use  
21 online. I'd like to go through some of those with  
22 you now beginning with a user name "youareamazing,"  
23 all one word. That was used on Match.com; is that  
24 correct?

25 A Yes.

1           Q       Does any of the profile information  
2       contained in BlackOutx2 -- is any of that accurate  
3       with respect to you?

4           A       No.

5           Q       The description of dominant couple. That  
6       doesn't make any reference to you, does it,  
7       Mr. Fredin?

8           A       No.

9           Q       It's got height and weight of 6-4, 235  
10       pounds. There's no reference to you in that profile,  
11       correct?

12          A       Correct.

13          Q       And then it says, "Orientation: Bisexual.  
14       Ethnicity, African descent."

15                   Neither one of those is accurate with  
16       respect to you, is it?

17          A       Correct.

18          Q       Is there any information at all in this  
19       BlackOutx2 profile that would indicate that it's you,  
20       Brock Fredin?

21          A       It's not me. Your question is indicative  
22       of the fact that all of this information is not  
23       related to me in any way whatsoever.

24          Q       And it doesn't contain any e-mail  
25       information, correct?

1           A       The BlackOutx2 profile does contain my  
2       e-mail address because all these e-mails were  
3       forwarded to me. And then I believe that the profile  
4       itself actually publicly made my e-mail visible in  
5       the profile.

6           Q       You say you believe that. Why do you  
7       believe that?

8           A       I believe that because I received -- not  
9       only did I receive these e-mails, but the profile I  
10      think had that information and was modified  
11      thereafter.

12          Q       The profile you provided to us here  
13      doesn't contain your e-mail address, does it?

14          A       It does.

15          Q       Where?

16          A       It contains my e-mail address in the  
17      profile settings where then all e-mails were  
18      forwarded to me.

19          Q       But the profile doesn't publicly disclose  
20      your e-mail address, correct?

21          A       The profile, upon viewing it, included my  
22      e-mail address publicly, and then I believe that it  
23      was later modified or amended.

24          Q       Is this the entirety of the BlackOutx2  
25      profile that we see in Exhibit 5?

1 A No.

2 Q What's missing?

3 A The entire visible public profile.

4 Q And where is that?

5 A I produced that in discovery.

6 Q What about the profile for epicviewfu?

7 A What do you mean?

8 Q Where is that profile?

9 A I produced that in discovery.

10 Q Mr. Fredin, you seem awfully confident you  
11 produced these things in discovery. Do you have  
12 copies of what you produced to us or believe you  
13 produced to us?

14 A I do, yes.

15 Q Because from our review, we didn't find  
16 any of that documentation.

17 A They're all there. That's just a blatant  
18 lie. It's pretty insulting, actually.

19 Q Other than the CollarSpace.com  
20 communications, you had mentioned text messages and  
21 knocks on the door that you claim constitute  
22 nonconsensual sexual activity or sexual solicitation,  
23 correct?

24 A Yes.

25 Q How many text messages?

1           A       Hundreds, because at the time all of these  
2       e-mails were forwarded to my phone, as well as  
3       several other messages that I simply ignored.

4           Q       Okay. And where are those hundreds of  
5       text messages now?

6           A       I just ignored them at the time. And I  
7       just referenced the e-mails. You know, I thought it  
8       would go away within a few months, and so I just  
9       ignored that. The text messages are part and parcel  
10      to the data provided here.

11          Q       All right. But you didn't provide those  
12      text messages to us, correct?

13          A       I did provide them part and parcel to all  
14      this data here.

15          Q       When you say "part and parcel," I don't  
16      understand what that means. Did you provide the text  
17      messages to us, yes or no?

18          A       Yes.

19          Q       Okay. You say there's hundreds of text  
20      messages?

21          A       Yes.

22          Q       And you're claiming that you produced  
23      hundreds of text messages in this lawsuit?

24          A       I produced the e-mails, which were  
25      forwarded as text messages.

1 Q So you're looking at Exhibit 7 again?

2 A Exhibit 6.

3 Q So when you say --

4 A Exhibit 7.

5 Q So you say "text messages," then, do I  
6 infer that to mean that you would get a notification  
7 in your in-box but you would also get a text message  
8 with the same information?

9 A At that time, that's the way that I had it  
10 set up, as well as receiving several other text  
11 messages related to this, people contacting me, that  
12 I ignored.

13 Q So the text messages, again, are --  
14 contain the same messages that we saw in Exhibit 6?

15 A Yes. And the phone that I was using, I  
16 never turned on again after the property was  
17 returned. So those text messages themselves are  
18 simply unavailable.

19 Q Where is that phone now?

20 A That phone was deleted, and I believe that  
21 it was sold on the internet.

22 Q When was it deleted?

23 A When I sold the phone on the internet, I  
24 deleted the data associated with it.

25 Q You didn't keep any copies?



1           A        I didn't turn on the phone after -- well,  
2       let me back up. I don't recall if I turned it on. I  
3       did not turn on the phone. I sold it on the  
4       internet. I don't remember, actually.

5           Q        Who did you sell it to?

6           A        I don't remember.

7           Q        How did you sell it on the internet?

8           A        I don't remember.

9           Q        When did you sell it on the internet?

10          A        For all intents and purposes, when I  
11       received the property -- let me back up.

12                    When I received the property back, because  
13       there were so many items, they took 60 to 70 items,  
14       that it was overwhelming. And so the phone that this  
15       stuff was on, I had like five or six phones. I  
16       couldn't remember exactly which phone I was using at  
17       that time.

18                    I sold one phone which could have been  
19       that phone, and I may have the phone still in  
20       property. My life has been sort of in whack. So I  
21       don't know exactly where it is. I believe that it's  
22       in storage, in a storage unit in St. Louis Park  
23       because my mom, before she died, had it, and she put  
24       all of my stuff in a storage unit. And I had one or  
25       two phones, and I don't recall if that was the exact

1 phone that I had when all of this went down.

2 But I ignored all of these text messages  
3 that were forwarded and utilized simply the e-mails  
4 themselves.

5 Q Okay. So you ignored them and you did not  
6 read them?

7 A I read them.

8 Q Okay. And you read them using the phone?

9 A Correct. They were push notifications on  
10 the phone.

11 Q Okay. And the content of the text  
12 message, is it the same as what's depicted in  
13 Exhibit 6?

14 A Yes. In addition, I had received several  
15 other direct text messages from individuals.

16 Q But let's just stick with the  
17 CollarSpace.com text messages. You did not open  
18 those messages to read the secondary content?

19 A Correct.

20 Q The other text messages that you  
21 referenced, where did they come from?

22 A Numbers. Telephone numbers.

23 Q Do you know where those text messages came  
24 from or how they obtained your phone number to text  
25 you?

1 from CollarSpace.com -- does that make up the  
2 entirety of your nonconsensual sexual solicitation  
3 claim?

4 A Generally.

5 Q Well, are there any more incidences, text  
6 messages or notifications that we haven't spoken  
7 about that you'd like to include?

8 A Just the deleted messages. There were  
9 about a hundred, maybe more than that, 120. A couple  
10 text messages that were custom text messages in  
11 addition to the 120 text messages that were forwarded  
12 from these e-mails.

13 Q Those have all been deleted, correct?

14 A I backed up on that because I'm not sure.  
15 I did not open that phone after it was returned to  
16 me.

17 Q Okay. And when you say the phone and it  
18 being returned to you, it's when the police returned  
19 your phone and other electronic devices?

20 A That's true.

21 Q And if the phone was sold that contains  
22 this information, how close in time was it sold  
23 between the time you received it back from the police  
24 and the time that you sold it online?

25 A I received five phones back, and I believe

1 that I was using multiple phones at that time, like,  
2 one or two phones, and like older models of iPhones  
3 when I upgraded. And so I'd use an older model, for  
4 example, just for surfing the internet on the couch.

5 And so when I say I sold one of these  
6 phones and your question was how soon thereafter the  
7 SWAT raid, I believe that it was a year and a half  
8 after that I may have sold one of the phones on the  
9 internet in order to sustain myself because I was  
10 unable to obtain employment during that period of  
11 time.

12 Q Okay. You didn't search these phones that  
13 you just referenced, these five phones?

14 A That's correct. I did not turn them on.

15 Q So you have not searched those phones for  
16 documents responsive to our discovery request?

17 A I am unable to because they were  
18 compromised by the St. Paul Police Department and any  
19 data that was taken from them would be suspect,  
20 because the St. Paul Police Department had modified  
21 files anyways. But they're on there. I was unable  
22 to do a search.

23 Plus the fact that I did not exactly know  
24 where they were, and my living situation and  
25 traveling between states is up in the air. So it was

1 storage unit?

2 A Probably August.

3 Q Other than the five phones you described  
4 earlier, what other electronic devices may be in that  
5 storage unit?

6 A That's really a -- that's all I know.

7 Q I'm sorry. Did you give me a number?

8 A No. That's about it. That's all I can  
9 recall that is associated with documents.

10 Q So there are no computers of any type?

11 A Correct.

12 Q Okay. Did you search any of your  
13 computers or did you only use the computer at the law  
14 library?

15 A I did search using a phone that I had.  
16 And then when I was at the law library forwarded some  
17 of the documents to the brockf12 account in order to  
18 use their resources, essentially, to produce the  
19 PDFs.

20 Q From January of 2016 to present, what  
21 computers, if any, have you used to communicate  
22 either through Collarspace or these other online  
23 profiles or the e-mails addresses that you provided?

24 A The iMac that I had that was seized  
25 pursuant to Lindsey Middlecamp's unlawful actions

1       against me and then -- that's pretty much it.

2               Q       Okay. And you haven't searched the iMac;  
3       is that correct?

4               A       I can't turn it on because the files have  
5       been compromised.

6               Q       Have you tried to turn it on?

7               A       No.

8               Q       When you refer to compromised files, again  
9       we're talking about the police seizure of that device  
10      as well with others?

11              A       I can't trust any devices that have been  
12      seized by law enforcement.

13              Q       And where is that iMac today?

14              A       I believe that it's in the storage unit.  
15      I'm sorry. I'm contradicting myself. It is in the  
16      storage unit. It's in storage. I'm not trying to  
17      intentionally mislead. There's so many devices, I'm  
18      just trying to associate specific devices to where  
19      they're at.

20              Q       Yeah. And if you want to correct the  
21      testimony, I'm perfectly fine doing that. I'd rather  
22      get your best recollection rather than your guess.

23              A       My best recollection is that it's in the  
24      storage unit.

25              Q       We talked about two Collarspace accounts

1 the revenge porn campaign that Lindsey Middlecamp  
2 produces on CardsAgstHrsmt is eerily almost if not  
3 identical to this sort of conduct.

4 For example, this is a shirtless black  
5 man.

6 Q All right. So let's back up and try this  
7 again. How did you capture Ms. Schaefer's IP  
8 address?

9 A I received data from an analytics service.

10 Q Which service?

11 A Google Analytics. Showing that HTTP  
12 requests made to retrieve data were made, in  
13 reference to this whole smear campaign, were made  
14 from State College, Pennsylvania, and it indicated  
15 her IP address at the same time that all of this was  
16 going down.

17 Q Well, you made the -- so Google Analytics  
18 ran or captured the IP address related to what  
19 communication or website?

20 A I believe that it was a website dorseyhq  
21 or lindseymiddlecamp.com.

22 Q And those are websites that you created,  
23 correct?

24 A That's false.

25 Q Well, how did you get the Google Analytics

1 if they weren't websites that you had control over?

2 A I received the information by way of a  
3 friend, but I did not have direct knowledge of any  
4 intentions or actions related to any websites going  
5 up.

6 Q Who's the friend?

7 A I'm not going to answer that question.

8 Q Why not?

9 A Because it's not relevant.

10 Q Well, you just described for me how you  
11 obtained the IP address of my client through a  
12 friend. I'd like to know the friend's name.

13 A Right. And I'm not going to answer that  
14 question.

15 Q Well, Mr. Fredin, you're making a claim  
16 that Ms. Schaefer created these false profiles  
17 because you believe that her IP address is associated  
18 with them. You then tell me that the IP address was  
19 obtained through two websites, lindseymiddlecamp.com  
20 and dorseyhq, for which you say you don't control but  
21 that are controlled by a, quote, unquote, friend.

22 So I'll ask you again, who's the friend?

23 A I did a search of these websites. They  
24 don't exist. Dorseyhq doesn't exist.  
25 Lindseymiddlecamp doesn't exist.



1 Q It did, because you just told me it did.

2 A But it doesn't exist. And the information  
3 I received was simply for legal purposes to track  
4 down through private investigators Catherine  
5 Schaefer's involvement.

6 Q Who developed the site dorseyhq and  
7 lindseymiddlecamp.com?

8 A I will object on relevance.

9 Q They were created by Anthony Zappin,  
10 correct?

11 A Again, I'm objecting on relevance.

12 Q You and Mr. Zappin collaborated on the  
13 creation of those websites and other websites, true?

14 A I'm objecting on relevance.

15 Q You're refusing to answer the question as  
16 to who created the website dorseyhq and  
17 lindseymiddlecamp.com; is that correct?

18 A I'm objecting on relevance. I'm not  
19 refusing to answer.

20 Q Well, Mr. Fredin, there's a website with  
21 my client's name attached to it along with some  
22 harmful information contained within it. How it's  
23 not relevant, I don't understand. But if you're  
24 going to maintain the objection and not answer we can  
25 certainly certify that question to the Court. So I'm

1 But you're refusing to answer any questions relating  
2 to Mr. Zappin, is that my understanding?

3 A Objection, asked and answered.

4 (Fredin Exhibit 22 was marked for  
5 identification.)

6 BY MR. BREYER:

7 Q Mr. Fredin, Exhibit 22 is the Twitter  
8 account with the handle @mncourtshq. Do you see  
9 that?

10 A Yes.

11 Q This is an account that you created?

12 A Objection, relevance.

13 Q Are you going to answer the question?

14 A No.

15 Q You don't deny, then, that you did create  
16 this document -- this Twitter handle?

17 A I'm objecting on relevance.

18 Q Much of the content in this Twitter handle  
19 is in fact your post, correct?

20 A I'm objecting on relevance.

21 Q Do you still maintain this account?

22 A I'm objecting on relevance.

23 Q You're not answering the question?

24 A I'm objecting on relevancy.

25 Q And, again, you're refusing to answer?

1           A           I'm not refusing to answer. I'm just  
2           objecting on relevance.

3           Q           Sure. And now I want your answer now that  
4           you've given your objection. Are you going to give  
5           me an answer?

6           A           No.

7                               (Fredin Exhibit 23 was marked for  
8                               identification.)

9           BY MR. BREYER:

10          Q          So Exhibit 23 is a copy of a website that  
11          was made for Catherine Schaefer and I should have  
12          included it when we talked about the last two  
13          websites, including the one with Grace Miller and  
14          Ms. McQuitty. You created this website as well; is  
15          that correct?

16          A          Objection, relevance.

17          Q          You're not going to answer the question?

18          A          No.

19          Q          If you would turn to page 3 of this  
20          document you can see some of the exhibits we looked  
21          at today including those referencing BlackOutx2,  
22          correct?

23          A          Yes.

24          Q          And that content you posted to this  
25          website?

1           A           This content appears to show Catherine  
2           Schaefer's revenge pornography targeting me. It  
3           appears to show a website promoting the fact that it  
4           is Catherine Schaefer indeed targeting me, violating  
5           the law. That's what I see from this document.

6           Q           That's not my question. My question is  
7           you provided this content, correct?

8           A           Objection, relevance.

9           Q           You're not going to answer the question?

10          A           No.

11          Q           Is that a no?

12          A           No.

13          Q           If you look at the remaining pages of  
14          Exhibit 23, it contains additional information,  
15          Facebook posts and photos, all of which were sourced  
16          from you, correct?

17          A           Objection, relevance.

18          Q           Are you going to answer?

19          A           No.

20                       (Fredin Exhibit 24 was marked for  
21                       identification.)

22          BY MR. BREYER:

23          Q           You have before you Exhibit 24, which is  
24          your complaint filed in Federal District Court of  
25          Minnesota against Referee Clysdale amongst others,